IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.432 OF 2005

M/s. Promuk Hoffman International Ltd. .... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Goa & Ors. .... Respondents

Shri M.S. Sonak for the Petitioner.
Sarvasri S.S. Kantak, Advocate General, with
Ms R. Chodankar, A.G.A. For the Respondent No.1.
Shri D. Lawande for the Respondent No.3.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.457 OF 2005

M/s. Hind Industries Ltd. .... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Goa through its Chief Secretary & Ors. .... Respondents

Sarvasri S.G. Dessai, Sr. Advocate, with V.R. Tamba,
Advocate for the Petitioner.
Sarvasri S.S. Kantak, Advocate General, with
Ms R. Chodankar, A.G.A., for the Respondent
Nos.1 and 2.
Shri D. Lawande for the Respondent No.3.

Coram: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date: 14th February, 2006

P.C:

On pronouncement of the Judgments, the learned Advocate

appearing for the petitioners prayed for continuation of the interim relief during the pendency of the hearing of the matter which was objected to by the learned Advocate General. Considering the facts of the case, we are not inclined to grant the relief prayed for for four weeks. However, in the interest of justice, interim relief shall continue to be in force for a period of two weeks only.

Certified copy expedited.

(N.A. BRITTO, J.) (R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.)